

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,986	06/07/2006	Gerhard Olbert	13156-00052-US	8992
23416 7590 11/06/2007 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			EXAMINER	
			WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/581,986	OLBERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<u>March 2007</u> .				
, <u> </u>	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4) Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>19-36</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
	or orostorroquiroment.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2006</u> is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•	•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	•	eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.			
222 III 2 II	TEL MILE TEL MILE TO PROGRAMMENT	•			
•	•	•			
AMark-rayst(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/06. 	6) Other:	•.			

Application/Control Number: 10/581,986

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natta et al (US 3,152,997) and Filippi et al (WO 02/068110).

The instant claims are drawn to a method for preparing formaldehyde by gasphase oxidation of methanol vapor in the presence of a fixed-bed catalyst comprising iron and molybdenum, wherein the process is carried out in a reactor having heatexchange plates arranged in the longitudinal direction.

Natta et al teach the preparation of formaldehyde by the oxidation of methanol in the gas phase, in the presence of a catalyst comprising iron and molybdenum (example 1). Natta et al do not teach the type of reactor recited in the instant claims.

However, Filippi et al teach a method for carrying out exothermic chemical reactions (which includes the oxidation of methanol to produce formaldehyde) using a cylindrical reactor, which comprises a catalyst (specific to a given chemical reaction) arranged in a fixed-bed, and heat exchangers made of metallic plates arranged in the longitudinal direction of the reactor.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,986

Art Unit: 1621

In view of the combined reference teachings, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ reactor comprising heat-exchange plates according to Filippi et al, in a process for preparing formaldehyde from the oxidation of methanol, a well-known process, such as taught by Natta et al. The oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde is an exothermal process; a person having ordinary skill would benefit from the incorporation of the method for carrying out such reactions taught by Filippi et al, since the use of a reactor having heat-exchange plates as taught therein would avoid or minimize the presence of hot-spots in such exothermic reactions.

Instant process limitations including the radial extension of the heat-exchange plates, the number of plate modules, particle size of the catalyst, width of the gaps between plates, etc., while not expressly taught by the combination of reference teachings are still deemed obvious, absent a showing of some unexpected result. Said limitations recite known process parameters. These process parameters would be manipulated by a person having ordinary skill in the art in an obvious manner, in order to obtain optimal results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,986 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

saw

SULL A. WITHERSPOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER